App. F. IX-4

Previous References

192 8.
(Emigrai)

File No. 121-28

Serial Nos. 1—6

Later References

120-29-1-4

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for February 1929

SUBJECT

Recommends that full assessment may be recovered from the party without changing the present tenure or existing conditions, of the lands resumed for Sri Varasiddhaswamy Temple at Mallur

---

BE 1634 dated 18.2.29 — To the Deputy Comr.

The rights of the temple should be fully protected and the Devadaya lands should be leased out as usual for five years.

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1928.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mucz)

NOTES.

File No. 121-28

FILE HEADING.

Cancellation of the inam tenure of lands endowed to Sri Nadi Narasimha Swamy temple of nallur Village, Chamrapatna. Taluk

FROM

The Inamdar Comr.

No. 1574 Dated 19.9.28 Received

Diary No 452	Enclos. 1 file Spare copies	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends for reasons stated, that full assessment may be recovered from the party now in possession of the land without changing the inam tenure, a muchalika being obtained from the holder to the effect that any attempt

NOTES AND ORDERS.

to alienate the lands will entail forfeiture of the Saguvali tenure.

Off Calling for an inam extract is noted

AN 27.9.28.

SM 27/9/28

Noted 29/9

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Rev File No. 121 of 1928-29

Serial No.	
2.	To, Supt, Rev Surv & Sett Ws No 714 Dt 4-10-28 Calls for copy of the detailed index Register pertaining to the grant of the lands in question
3.	From, the Supt, Rev Survey. Letter no 87 Dt 17-11-1928 Submits an extract of the index Register pertaining to the temple lands— 3 the Survey Supdt's letter Refd to in para 3 of the M C's Comm index disposal (S C I) has not been received. U/D. calling for it together with the originals of the 2 documents mentioned in para 2, is submitted for appl Dt 1-12-28. 1/12
4	To index Comm No 108 Dt calls for the file of his office with the Supt's letter 12/2
5.	From. the M C. Letter no 1547 Dt 9/12/28

GRS

P. W D

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

Serial No.

Submits the originals of the pattige Pattis, together with his office file containing the Survey Supts' letter Dt 8 & 9 1925

The R. C's letter gives full reasons for the recovery of the full assessment with cesses from the party in possession of inam S nos. 955 and 961 of Mallur village belonging to Sri Vadi Narasimha Swamy temple at the place. Though the lands were settled as Devadaya during the Inam Settlement of 1878, it is mentioned with ref. to one of the lands that "The temple does not appear to be in possession of the land which is cultivated by a Brahmin who shares only in covenant produce etc."

Orders solicited in the concurrent view held both by the R. C. and D. C. and ~~Concurred in~~ Supported by the Survey Supt in toto, in the matter of the recovery of only Survey assessment (with cesses) from the temple lands. (retaining the Inam to the temple)

Submit for orders.

AV. 1.2.25

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPE—30,000—21 8-28

Serial No.

9 The D.C in agreement with the A.D.O. recommends that the land may be given to the present holder on full assessment after cancelling the Inam tenure In modification of the above recommendation and in consonance with the views of the Survey Supt., the Inc. recommends that full assessment (with cesses) may be ordered to be recovered from the party in possession of the land without changing the Inam tenure and a muchalika obtained from the party to the effect that any attempt at alienation in any way will entail forfeiture of his Japtwali hak

my 2/12/29. 10 Mr Thyagaraja's recommendation may perhaps be sanctioned. His is advantageous to Mr Temple

11 (Sd) Member

12 The temple is getting a quitrent from the land and Malakki Gowda is regarded as a lessee and the land is Devadaya acc to Inam Settlement. Please note why inam tenure should be cancelled & why the land should not be leased out for what it can fetch for the benefit of the temple. What is the right of Malakki Gowda to the land? I can not understand. MWJ 5/2/29

NOTE - Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21 8 28

Serial No.

13 Attention is respectfully invited to the Sub Divn officer's Report dy 12.4.28, and the Supdg Survey Supdt's letter dy 8.9.1928 on the subject. The Sub Divn officer reports, after investigation, that these lands were not granted to the Instn by Govt, but were given by one Mysore Narasappa on or about 1810, that the Grandfather of the present occupant obtained this land from Narsappa and his descendants and has been regularly paying 6 Pagodas or Rs 18/- as Raj Pahe to the temple while enjoying the rest of the crop himself, that this enjoyment has been going on in the family of Galapelli Gowda for some years prior to 1813, and that the Ch. Comr's circular of 1853 does not apply in the present case as there has been no alienation of the property since the temple had never enjoyed possession of the property nor did it ever get any income above 6 Pagodas at any time from 1810 onwards. The Survey Supdg state discussing the facts of the case, States that the temple as per entries in the Inam register, was not in possession of the lands but received "Rajpal" (6 pagodas)

This is not admitted. The Survey Supdt. has says that there is no comment to support this is based on hearsay evidence (vide pl. X)

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8 28

Serial No.

equal to Inamdars' share, and agrees to the proposals of the R.C. for the recovery of the full assessment with cesses from the party now in possession of the lands, and for the retention of the inam to the temple.

Submitted.

14 The lands are nowadays according to the Survey Sketch 6.2.29. inam lands and continued as such during the inam settlement. The Sur. Supdt's note and the remarks made on the Title deed clearly show that the lands were granted ~~by the Sankar~~ in lieu of ~~Cash payment as is normal~~ and a Brahmin priest, ~~the ancestor of any Sankar~~ Narasappa ~~has entrusted the service~~ ~~influential persons manage the lands for the Temple.~~ So Narasappa might have granted a lease to Yalakki gowda. claiming "Raypat" or half the crop as it is usually understood. These inam lands seem to have been in the enjoyment of the family as lessees. ~~Whatever~~ & Sometimes as mortgagees whatever the period of enjoyment, may be the Nature of Tenure of the Inam lands cannot be ~~ex~~ changed. So there is no necessity to change the Tenure,

15 as Yalakki gowdas family has been enjoying the lands for a long time

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

time, in equity he claims the right to the land. as he had been paying "Raj pat", he may be asked to pay the same & continue as a permanent lessee. Both this "Raj pat" or half the produce, is a variable amount. So this has to be settled once a period. Yalakkigowda's claim to the land cannot be conceded legally. And therefore the Nature of tenure has to remain unchanged and he may be allowed the lease of the land, either permanently or for a long period; whereas the interests of this temple would suffer. Submitted with reference to Para 12.

(Illegible) 6/2 (1st Member) 7/2

No. 4749

3069 12.

16 The lands in question are a Devadaya grant and Yalakki Gowda is only a lessee. There is no necessity to impose the full assessment on the lands or to obtain a mutchulika from Yalakki Gowda not to alienate the lands. The rights of the temple should be fully protected and in its interests the Devadaya lands should be leased out as is usually done in such cases. Yalakki Gowda's family has long been the lessee of these lands and so it is known to it and it might therefore be known to the

Serial No.

take the form of half the gutta otherwise obtain-able for a period of five years.

6 To mem Court
No 1634 dt 18.2.29
State that the lands in question may be leased out as usual Yelakki gowda's family &c. &c.

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

F. C. 13]

FINANCE SECRETARY 19 SEP 1928 No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....16th September.....1928.

19

No. 1547 Enl file 27-8

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~XXX~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

REV SECRETARIAT. 20 SEP 1928 MUZRAI D 452

Muz 121-28-1

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.C.3-4022 26-27 dated 13th May 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore -- District, recommending that the inam tenure of S.Nos.955 and 961 of Mallur village belonging to Sri Nadi Narasimhaswami temple at the - place may be cancelled and the lands confirmed to the present holder Yalakki Gowda on full assessment, the same being made over to the -- temple as cash grant.

2. The detailed report submitted by the Sub Division Officer and the Deputy Commissioner's letter under disposal explain fully the facts of the case. The statement of Yalakki gowda dated 10th April 1928 and the documents viz., (i) Guttige Patti dated ಶುಭ ಕೃತು ಕಾರ್ತಿಕ ಬಹುಳ ದಶಮಿ.
(ii) Shraya Saguvali Patti d/ ಶುಭ ಕೃತು ಕಾರ್ತಿಕ ಬಹುಳ ದ್ವಾದಶಿ
& (iii) Mortgage bond executed by Narahariah on 15-9-1869

D (Copy)
E (Copy)
F (Copy)
G
Enquiry
Copy).

and also the statement of archak Lakshminarasimha Iyengar at the time of the inam enquiry and on which the settlement is based seem to -- prove that the lands have not been in actual enjoyment of the temple for a considerable length of time and that it is deriving benefit -- only to the extent of 6 pagodas or Rs.13/- as ದಕ್ಷಿಣೆ ಆದಾಯ.

3. The Superintendent of Survey and Settlement who was consulted whether it would not be advantageous to the temple to recover full assessment from the party in possession together with cesses instead

of cancelling the inam tenure and leaving the lands in possession of the present holder stat s that the inference drawn by the -- Deputy Commissioner that the inam in question was originally -- granted to the temple by one Mysore Narana,,a appears to be -- based on the statements of interested parties who have not produced any documentary evidence to substantiate their statements and that the entries in column 13 of the Inam register show that the inam was granted to the temple as per Srimukha dated 21st February 1811 in lieu of cash payments which it was receiving then and -- that the full assessment (with cesses, may be recovered from the present holder, the inam being retained to the temple. He further urges that a guarantee may be obtained from the -- party to the effect that any attempt on his part to alienate the lands in any way will entail forfeiture of the inam (copy enclosed)

4. As the inam in question seem to be in the possession of Basavanna's family some years prior to 1843 and as it does not seem expedient to disturb the arrangement which has in a way been recognised at the inam settlement, I beg to recommend that in -- modification of the Deputy Commissioner's proposal but in consonance with the view expressed by the Survey Superintendent, full assessment may be imposed and to be recovered from the party in possession of the land with it charging the inam tenure and a guarantee bond from the party to the effect that any attempt on his part to alienate the land in any way will entail forfeiture of the inam if resumed. By this revised arrangement the temple will be benefited to the extent of Rs.10/- as the assessment on the land amounts to Rs.10/-.

5. As the fasli chargeable on this inam has already been levied on other inam lands belonging to the temple, there is no need to make any fresh levy in the present case.

6. The village file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference and early return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.3-4022/26-27 dated 18th May 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the honor to submit herewith the file of records received with the Closepet Sub-Division Officer's No.A-3-1348/26-27 dated 16th April 1928 in the matter of alienation of Inam Survey Nos.955 and 961 of Mallur village in the Chennapatna Taluk, belonging to the Sri Nadi Narasimhaswami temple at the place and to state as follows:--

2. Sri Nadi Narasimhaswami temple at the place is a Muzrai institution endowed with the marginally noted Devadaya Inam lands for its support.

Title deed No.204/333			
Wet S.No.939	2-0	13-0-0	
950	1-26	11-0-0	
951	2-25	16-0-0	
952	3- 5	20-0-0	
949	1-33	11-0-0	
	11-9	71-0-0	
Title deed No.205/334			
Garden S.No.955	1-37	12-0-0	
961	2-24	16-0-0	
	4-21	28-0-0	

3. From the records and report of the Sub Division Officer, it is seen that all the five wet survey numbers were granted to the institution by Government but the remaining 2 garden survey Nos. were originally given to the temple by one Mysore Naranappa on or about 1810 and subsequently treated as Devadaya at the time of Inam Settlement. The two garden survey Nos. are in the enjoyment of one Yalakki Gowda's family from earlier than 1843 who pay 6 pagodas (or Rs.18/-) as 'Rajapal' to the temple, the family enjoying the crop.

4. From the copy of documents produced by Yalakki Gowda, the present holder it is learnt that the lands in question were in the possession of Mysore Narnappa prior to 1843, and subsequently in the possession of Yalakki Gowda as a Lessee.

5. The Sub-Division Officer reports that the Chief Commissioner's Circular of 1853 does not apply to the present case as there has been no alienation of the property belonging to the temple, since the temple had never enjoyed possession of the property nor did it ever get any income over 6 pagodas at any time from 1810 and onwards.

6. The Sub Division Officer further proposes that the lands in question may be removed from Inam head and given to Yalakki Gowda on full assessment, which may be given to the temple as cash grant.

7. I agree with the Sub Division Officer in his views and recommend that the lands may be given to the present holder on full assessment & after cancelling the Inam tenure."

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner

A. P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 121-28

Serial No. 7

No. 714

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To ..... The Inam Supt.

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference a copy of the detailed inam register entries pertaining to the grant under T. D nos. 204/333 and 205/334, of Devadaya lands for the up keep of Sri Nadi Nara Simha Swamy, temple at Mallur village, Chandragiri Taluq

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

I have the honour to be Sir Your obedient servant

Secy.

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

*Dated, Bangalore,...*16th November*...192*8

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
19 NOV 1928
MUZRAI

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
No. 4

748

121-28-3

To

R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

As desired in your letter No.714/Muz.121-28-2 dated 4th October 1928, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of lands for the upkeep of Sri Nadi Narasimhaswamy temple at Mallur village, Channapatna Taluk.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. Srinivasa Iyengar

for Superintendent.

A Grant on Cadjan

Register Sheet (No. 6)
Jacket - Biographical
Page - 12

Register of Inams in the village of Mallur

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
4	D. Devaraja Setty	H. Block No 3 Survey 1941 532	3 - 3 - 0	
	[illegible]	H. No. 120 old 1930 K. K. P. 459	1 - 0 - 0	

Sammat of — in the Taluk of Chennapatna

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9

P. 2-0 R. A. P
2-5-0 = 7-4-4

P. 2-0 R. A. P.
5-0-0 = 17-2-3

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

(contn of col 13)

(contn of col 14)

but down by guess
as 1 Canty at 6 Pags.

1831

Narasimha Swamy
temple near Mallur
Pradi.

Bagar get Inam } P. 2½.
Rajapani proper } 6-00

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
—	—	

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

If [illegible] has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each [illegible] columns

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] of the present occupant	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

[illegible] [illegible] 1/2 tax under
C.D.
(sd) Sig. Rossendale
Assistant
5.11.27.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION		
Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam	Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19		20

1. Complete [illegible]

2. [illegible] fixed with H.S. [illegible] of [illegible] and [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

3. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inspector General

20

1. Work performed - Devadurga Jail.
2. Confirmed on the ~~Committee~~ visit of the Jail Committee

Rs. 7-8-0.

(Sd) R. A. Cole -
Superintendent

8.8.18.

---

1. Work performed - Devadurga Jail
2. Confirmed above.

(Sd) R. A. Cole
Superintendent

8.8.18.

"Copy"

16.11.28.

for Superintendent

Appendix F G–1

SUBJECT.. ....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

..... The ..... Tahsildar .....

Date of issue.....

Signed by .....

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 1527 dt 19.9.1928 requesting the favour of full assessment from the party in possession of the inam lands endowed to Sri Vadi Narasimha Swamy temple at Mallur village, Chaura Patna Taluk, I am directed to request that your office file containing the Cancelled from the Register of Survey and Settlement

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000 –18-9-28

Resp. to in para 3 of your
Com^n under reply, may also be
forwarded for rep. together
with the originals of the
Sattige Patti of Subhakrithi Samvatsara.
and Shraya Sagunali Patti
of Rakshasa Samvatsara.
~~A/~~ the records are now received / basement.
for rep & re. subm

I have etc.

D/d Jnt. Secy.

Muzrai 17

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. 15 [illegible] 9 JAN. [illegible]

Bangalore,.....8th January..... 1929.

9

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
~~Revenue~~ *Commissioner in Mysore*

[illegible] SECRETARIAT. 11 JAN 1929 MUZRAI

D1030

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Rev 121-28-5

Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1083/Muz.121-28-4 dated 6th -- December 1928 re recovery of full assessment from the party in possession of the inam lands of the Sri Nadi Narasimhaswami temple at Mallur, -- Channapatna Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the originals of the guttige Patti of Subhakritu and Shraya Saguvali Patti of ------ Rakshasa together with this office file containing letter dated 8th -- September 1928 received from the Survey Superintendent in Mysore.

2. The file of vernacular papers is also resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Muzrai Commissioner.

ECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 18.2.29

Signed by Mr T K S Iyer

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by AG RS

Section Mar

File No. 121-28.

Serial No. 6

No. 1634

Our file/Various

To The Rn. C.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. 1547 dt 9.1.1929. requesting for reasons stated The recovery of the full assessment without change The main tenure, mon yalanni Govda - party in possession of main Survey 155 and 961 of Mallur Village Chennapatna Hk. belonging to Sri Nadi Nara Sunka Swamy Temple at the pre-

over

WD0326—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

I am directed to state ~~that~~
~~the~~ the lands in question are a
Devadaya grant and Galakki
Gowda is only a lessee,
and that there is no necessity
to impose the full assessment
on the lands or to obtain
a Muchalika from Galakki
Gowda not to alienate the
lands, as recommended by you, ~~and further that~~ but consider that
the rights of the temple should
be fully protected, and in
its interest, the Devadaya
lands should be leased
as is usually done in all
such cases. ~~You are to add~~
~~that~~ Galakki Gowda's family
has long been the lessee
of these lands, and some
concession might therefore
be shown to it, and it may
take the form of half the
sum otherwise obtainable
for a period of

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

5 years.

(2) The words are herewith returned.

I have etc

GPB—12,000—15-2-13